

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8

9 PAUL HARVEY

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT #2 OF
13 GRANT COUNTY d/b/a/ GRANT
14 COUNTY PUD

15 Defendant.
16

NO. 2:15-cv-00004-SAB

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

17 Before the Court is Parties' Stipulated for Protective Order. ECF No. 29.
18 This motion was heard without oral argument.

19 The parties ask the Court to sign a Protective Order that was drafted and
20 agreed to by the parties. It is this Court's practice to not enter general Protective
21 Orders that simply set forth the parties' agreement for handling "confidential"
22 materials.

23 The parties are free to contract between themselves regarding disclosure of
24 information produced in discovery, and pursue appropriate remedies in the event
25 of breach; however, the Court will not be party to such an agreement. If, in the
26 future, the parties wish to file specific items of discovery in the court record and
27 protect such items from public access, the Court will entertain an application for a
28 narrowly tailored protective order.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER # 1

1 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

2 **1.** Parties' Stipulation for Protective Order, ECF No. 29, is **DENIED.**

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is hereby directed to
4 file this Order and provide copies to counsel.

5 **DATED** this 27th day of April 2016.



10 

11 Stanley A. Bastian
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28